

Announcements

1) Change zeros on
question 5 a) to ones

Definition: (separated, disconnected)

Two subsets S and T

of a metric space

\mathbb{X} are separated

if $\overline{S} \cap T = S \cap \overline{T} = \emptyset$.

A subset $Y \subseteq \mathbb{X}$ is

disconnected if $\exists S, T \subseteq Y$,

S, T separated and nonempty

$S \cup T = Y$ (a separation of Y)

$S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is connected if S is
not disconnected

Example 1. (separated sets)

$$[0, 1] = S \quad \text{and} \quad [5, 10] = T$$

are separated since

$$S = \bar{S}, \quad T = \bar{T}, \quad \text{and} \quad S \cap T = \emptyset.$$

$$S = [0, 1], \quad T = (1, 2)$$

S and T are **not** separated

since $\bar{T} = [1, 2]$ and

$$S \cap \bar{T} = \{1\}.$$

Example 2' (\mathbb{Q}) Let's show \mathbb{Q}
is disconnected.

Pick $x \in \mathbb{R}, x \notin \mathbb{Q}$

$$x = \sqrt{2}$$

$$S = (-\infty, \sqrt{2}) \cap \mathbb{Q}$$

$$T = (\sqrt{2}, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Q}$$

Check that this is a separation!

$S \cup T = \mathbb{Q}$ If $y \in \mathbb{Q}$, either
 $y > \sqrt{2}$ or $y < \sqrt{2}$.

In the latter, $y \in T$, and
in the former, $y \in S$.

So $S \cup T = \mathbb{Q}$.

$\overline{S} \cap T = \emptyset$ $S = (-\infty, \sqrt{2}) \cap \mathbb{Q}$

$$\overline{S} = (-\infty, \sqrt{2}]$$

Since every irrational number
in $(-\infty, \sqrt{2}]$ is a limit point
of S by density of the rationals

If $x > \sqrt{2}$, then

with $\varepsilon = \frac{x - \sqrt{2}}{2}$, then

$B(x, \varepsilon) \cap S = \emptyset$, so

$x \notin \bar{S}$.

$\bar{S} = (-\infty, \sqrt{2}]$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{S} \cap T &= (-\infty, \sqrt{2}] \cap ((\sqrt{2}, \infty) \cap \mathbb{Q}) \\ &= \emptyset\end{aligned}$$

$\bar{T} \cap S = \emptyset$ Similar to $\bar{S} \cap T = \emptyset$,

$$\bar{T} = [\sqrt{2}, \infty)$$

Therefore, \mathbb{Q} is
disconnected.

Famous Topological Example

The graph of $f(x) = \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$,
along with the portion of
the y -axis $-1 \leq y \leq 1$,
is connected.

Theorem: (connected sets in \mathbb{R})

$S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ (with the standard metric)

is connected if and only if

whenever $x, y \in S$, $x < y$,

then $\forall z$ with $x < z < y$,

$$z \in S$$

Proof: \Rightarrow Suppose that S is
connected. Let $x, y \in S$,

show that $\forall x < z < y$,

$$z \in S.$$

Suppose not Then $\exists x, y \in S$
and a z with

$$x < z < y, \quad z \notin S.$$

Then let $T = (-\infty, z) \cap S$

and $V = (z, \infty) \cap S.$

Claim $\{T, V\}$ is a separation for $S.$

$T \cup V = S$ Just like \mathbb{Q} , any

$w \in S$ is either bigger than z

or less than z

$$\underline{\overline{T} \cap V = \emptyset}$$

$$T = (-\infty, z) \cap S$$

if $\omega \in T'$, then $\omega \in \overline{(-\infty, z]}$
 $= (-\infty, z)$

This implies $\overline{T} \subseteq (-\infty, z]$.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{T} \cap V &\subseteq (-\infty, z] \cap V \\ &= (-\infty, z] \cap ((z, \infty) \cap S) \\ &= \emptyset\end{aligned}$$

$\bar{T} \cap T = \emptyset$ Similar,

$$\bar{T} \subseteq [z, \infty).$$

Then $\{T, \bar{T}\}$ is a separation of S . But S is connected, so this is a contradiction.

Therefore, $\forall x, y \in S$, if $x < z < y$, $z \in S$.

← Suppose $\forall x, y \in S$,
if $x < z < y$, then $z \in S$.

Show: S is connected.

So let T, V be two subsets
of S with $T \cup V = S$.

We'll show either $\overline{T} \cap V \neq \emptyset$
or $\overline{V} \cap T \neq \emptyset$.

Let $x \in T, y \in V$.

If $\forall y \in V$ and all
 $x \in T$

either $x < y$ or
 $y < x$, then

$\exists \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$x \leq \alpha \quad \forall x \in T$$

$$\text{and } y \geq \alpha \quad \forall y \in V$$

(assuming without loss

of generality, that

$$x < y \quad \forall x \in T, y \in V)$$

2 cases

$\alpha \notin S$

Choose $x < \alpha$, $x \in T$

$y > \alpha$, $y \in V$.

By our assumption, since

$x < \alpha < y$, we have $\alpha \in S$.

Contradiction, so

$\alpha \in S$ Then $\overline{T} \subseteq (-\infty, \alpha]$.

But if $y \in V$, $y > \alpha$,

then $\forall z$, $\alpha < z < y$,

$z \in S$.

This implies that

$$(\alpha, \gamma) \subseteq V \Rightarrow$$

$$[\alpha, \gamma] \subseteq \bar{V}.$$

Since $\alpha \in S$ and $S = T \cup V$

either $\alpha \in T$ or $\alpha \in V$,

If $\alpha \in T$, $\alpha \in T \cap \bar{V}$

If $\alpha \in V$, $\alpha \in V \cap \bar{T}$

Therefore, either $T \cap \bar{V} \neq \emptyset$

or $V \cap \bar{T} \neq \emptyset$.